



QUALITY ACCREDITATION IN HIGHER EDUCATION: INNOVATION, ETHICS AND STANDARDS FOR HOLISTIC DEVELOPMENT

Prof (Dr) Gurudutta P Japee

Professor, School of Commerce, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad, India

Abstract

Higher education quality accreditation is a dynamic, multifaceted sector that calls for a well-balanced approach to standards, innovation, and ethics. Accreditation procedures must change as higher education does in order to guarantee that they successfully support the improvement of institutional accountability and educational quality. This article offers a thorough analysis of the condition of quality accreditation today, highlights the main obstacles, and suggests strategies to innovate and uphold moral standards in the accreditation procedure.

Keywords: *Quality Accreditation, Higher Education, Quality Assurance, Ethics in Accreditation, Innovation in Accreditation System.*

BACKGROUND

The landscape of higher education is undergoing rapid transformation, driven by globalization, technological advancements, and evolving societal needs. Quality accreditation plays a pivotal role in ensuring that higher education institutions (HEIs) meet and maintain high standards of excellence. This paper explores the multifaceted dimensions of quality accreditation in higher education, focusing on standards, challenges, innovation, and ethics. A comparative analysis of accreditation practices in developed countries highlights the diverse approaches and rigorous frameworks employed to uphold educational quality. The distinctions between quality assurance, quality audit, quality accreditation, and quality assessment are delineated to clarify their unique roles in the quality enhancement continuum. Ethical considerations in the accreditation process are critically examined, emphasizing the importance of integrity, transparency, and accountability. Moreover, the paper delves into innovative practices in the accreditation system, showcasing how technological advancements and new methodologies are being integrated to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of accreditation processes.

Developed countries such as the United States, United Kingdom, and Australia have established robust accreditation systems characterized by stringent criteria, regular reviews, and comprehensive evaluation mechanisms. These systems serve as benchmarks for global accreditation practices, promoting institutional accountability and continuous improvement. The distinction between quality assurance (a continuous process aimed at enhancing educational processes), quality audit (an independent review focusing on compliance with established standards), quality accreditation (formal recognition of meeting predefined standards), and quality assessment (evaluation of outcomes and effectiveness) is crucial for understanding their complementary roles in fostering educational excellence.

Ethics in accreditation is a critical theme, addressing issues such as conflicts of interest, transparency in decision-making, and the equitable treatment of institutions. The integrity of the accreditation process is paramount to ensure that it remains credible and respected by stakeholders. Innovations in the accreditation system, including the use of data analytics, digital platforms, and adaptive evaluation frameworks, are revolutionizing how accreditation is conducted, making it more responsive to the dynamic needs of HEIs and their stakeholders. Let us understand first the key difference between quality assurance, audit, accreditation, and assessment.

KEY DIFFERENCE

In the context of higher education institutions, Quality Assurance, Quality Audit, Quality Accreditation, and Quality Assessment are distinct yet interrelated processes aimed at ensuring and enhancing the quality of education. Each of these processes plays a distinct but complementary role in ensuring and enhancing the quality of higher education institutions. Together, they create a comprehensive framework for maintaining high standards and promoting continuous improvement. Table 1 Represents the key difference between Assurance, Audit, Accreditation and Assessment.

Table 1 Difference Between Assurance, Audit, Accreditation and Assessment

<i>Aspect</i>	<i>Quality Assurance</i>	<i>Quality Audit</i>	<i>Quality Accreditation</i>	<i>Quality Assessment</i>
Definition	Quality Assurance is a continuous and systematic process of evaluating, monitoring, and improving the quality of educational programs, administrative processes, and institutional outcomes to meet established standards and stakeholder expectations.	Quality Audit is a systematic and independent examination of an institution's quality assurance systems and processes to determine whether they comply with established standards and effectively support quality improvement.	Quality Accreditation is the formal recognition by an authoritative body that an institution or its programs meet specific quality standards. It is a mark of quality that assures stakeholders of the institution's commitment to excellence	Quality Assessment is the evaluation of the quality of educational programs, faculty, students, and institutional performance based on defined criteria and standards. It is focused on the outcomes and effectiveness of educational offerings.
Focus	Process improvement	Compliance with QA processes	Meeting accreditation standards	Evaluating outcomes and effectiveness
Frequency	Ongoing with regular updates	Annually /Yearly	5-10 Years	Biannually
Nature	Continuous	Periodic	Periodic (time-bound)	Periodic or ongoing
Conducted By	Internal and external teams	External/internal auditors	Accrediting agencies	Internal and external evaluators
Scope	Broad (institution-wide)	Specific processes	Institution or program-specific	Specific programs or outcomes
Method	Internal reviews, quality management systems, monitoring KPIs	Documentation review, interviews, site visits	Self-study reports, peer review, site visits	Surveys, performance data analysis, feedback
Stakeholders	Institutional administrators, faculty, students, external stakeholders	Internal and external auditors, institutional administrators	Accrediting bodies, institutional administrators, faculty, students	Faculty, students, institutional administrators
Outcome	Improved processes	Compliance report and recommendations	Accreditation status	Assessment report and recommendation
Purpose	Building stakeholder confidence and supporting continuous improvement	Verification of accuracy, compliance, and accountability	Public recognition and legitimacy	Improvement of educational outcomes and decision-making
Examples	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementing a curriculum review process. Establishing teaching and learning standards. Conducting regular student feedback surveys. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Auditing the processes used for faculty recruitment and evaluation. Reviewing the adherence to accreditation standards. Evaluating the effectiveness of student 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> National accreditation of a university by a government agency. Specialized accreditation of a college and university by NAAC and NBA in India. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assessing student learning outcomes and graduate employability. Evaluating faculty research output and teaching effectiveness. Comparing institutional performance



assessment
procedures.

metrics with
national or
international
benchmarks.

ACCREDITATION IN DEVELOPED NATIONS

Developed nations employ a mix of institutional and programmatic accreditation, often combining self-assessment, peer review, and external evaluation. These systems ensure a high standard of education, with a focus on continuous improvement and accountability. The specific structures and processes can vary, reflecting each country's educational policies and priorities. Accreditation systems in developed nations often vary, but they strive to ensure educational quality and accountability. Here is an overview of the accreditation systems followed by some developed nations:

Table 2 Accreditation in Developed Countries

Country	Accreditation System and Its Cost
United States	Regional and National Accreditation: The U.S. has a dual system of regional and national accreditation. Regional accreditation is typically more prestigious and is granted by one of six regional accrediting bodies. National accreditation often applies to specific types of institutions, such as trade or vocational schools. Both systems involve periodic comprehensive reviews and require detailed self-studies by institutions. Regional Accreditation: Fees for regional accreditation (e.g., through agencies like the Higher Learning Commission or the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools) can range from \$10,000 to \$50,000 for the initial application process, with annual fees varying from \$3,000 to \$10,000. Additionally, institutions may incur costs related to site visits, which can range from \$5,000 to \$20,000. National Accreditation: National accrediting bodies (e.g., Accrediting Council for Independent Colleges and Schools) typically charge lower fees than regional bodies. Initial accreditation fees might range from \$5,000 to \$25,000, with annual fees between \$2,000 and \$7,500.
United Kingdom	Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA): The QAA reviews and assesses higher education institutions, focusing on academic standards and quality. It conducts reviews and publishes reports, ensuring that institutions meet predefined benchmarks. The process involves a combination of self-evaluation by institutions and external reviews. Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA): Fees for QAA assessments can vary significantly. A typical review might cost around £20,000 to £50,000. There are also annual subscription fees that depend on the size and type of institution, often ranging from £5,000 to £25,000.
France	High Council for the Evaluation of Research and Higher Education (HCERES): HCERES evaluates institutions and programs in France, focusing on research quality and educational standards. The process involves detailed evaluations, self-assessments by institutions, and external peer reviews. High Council for the Evaluation of Research and Higher Education (HCERES): The costs associated with HCERES evaluations can range from €10,000 to €40,000, depending on the scope of the assessment and the size of the institution.
Japan	Japan University Accreditation Association (JUAA) and National Institution for Academic Degrees and Quality Enhancement of Higher Education (NIADQE): JUAA and NIADQE are key bodies responsible for accrediting universities in Japan. They conduct rigorous evaluations and site visits, emphasizing self-assessment and peer reviews. Japan University Accreditation Association (JUAA) and NIADQE: Costs for accreditation through JUAA and NIADQE can be significant, with initial accreditation processes potentially costing JPY 1,000,000 to JPY 3,000,000, along with additional fees for annual reviews and site visits.
Germany	Accreditation Agencies under the German Accreditation Council: Germany has a decentralized system with multiple accreditation agencies overseen by the



	German Accreditation Council. These agencies accredit programs and institutions based on standards set by the Council, focusing on quality assurance and continuous improvement. Accreditation Agencies under the German Accreditation Council: Costs for program accreditation can range from €5,000 to €15,000 per program, while institutional accreditation might cost between €20,000 and €50,000, including site visits and report preparation.
Australia	Tertiary Education Quality and Standards Agency (TEQSA): TEQSA is Australia's national regulator for higher education. It evaluates institutions against the Higher Education Standards Framework, conducting assessments and audits to ensure compliance and quality improvement. TEQSA's process includes detailed assessments and ongoing monitoring. Provincial/Territorial Bodies: Each province has its own accrediting bodies and associated costs. For example, in Ontario, fees for the Ontario Universities Council on Quality Assurance might range from CAD 10,000 to CAD 30,000 for reviews, with additional costs for ongoing monitoring and compliance.
Canada	Provincial/Territorial Accreditation: In Canada, accreditation is handled at the provincial level. Each province or territory has its own processes and standards for accrediting postsecondary institutions. For example, Ontario has the Ontario Universities Council on Quality Assurance, and British Columbia has the Degree Quality Assessment Board. Provincial/Territorial Bodies: Each province has its own accrediting bodies and associated costs. For example, in Ontario, fees for the Ontario Universities Council on Quality Assurance might range from CAD 10,000 to CAD 30,000 for reviews, with additional costs for ongoing monitoring and compliance.

The cost of accreditation for universities and colleges can vary widely depending on several factors, including the accrediting body, the size and type of institution, and the specific requirements of the accreditation process. Below is an overview of potential costs associated with accreditation in different regions and by different accrediting agencies:

ADDITIONAL COSTS

Preparation and Compliance: Institutions often incur significant additional costs related to the internal preparation for accreditation, including staff time, consulting fees, and improvements to meet accreditation standards.

Site Visits and Travel: Expenses related to site visits, including travel, lodging, and per diem for visiting teams, can add thousands of dollars to the total cost.

Documentation and Reporting: Preparing extensive documentation and reports required for accreditation reviews also adds to the overall cost.

The cost of accreditation can be substantial, involving not only direct fees to accrediting bodies but also indirect costs associated with preparing for and maintaining accreditation. These expenses are necessary investments in ensuring the quality and credibility of educational institutions, which in turn can enhance their reputation, attract students, and secure funding. Institutions must carefully budget for these costs and consider them part of their overall strategic planning for quality assurance and institutional improvement.

BINARY ACCREDITATION

Binary accreditation, where institutions are either accredited or not without gradations or detailed feedback, has both advantages and disadvantages for colleges and universities.

Advantages

1. **Clarity and Simplicity:** Binary accreditation provides a clear, unambiguous status of accreditation, making it easy for students, parents, and employers to understand.
2. **Threshold Assurance:** It ensures that all accredited institutions meet a minimum standard of quality, which can help maintain a baseline of educational quality.
3. **Reduced Bureaucracy:** The process can be simpler and less time consuming than more nuanced systems that require detailed reporting and grading.

Disadvantages

1. **Lack of Nuance:** Binary systems do not reflect the varying levels of quality and excellence among accredited institutions. This can make it difficult for stakeholders to differentiate between institutions that just meet the standards and those that far exceed them.



2. Limited Feedback for Improvement: Institutions may not receive detailed feedback on specific areas of strength and weakness, which can limit their ability to make targeted improvements.
3. All-or-nothing Stakes: The pressure of an all-or-nothing outcome can be stressful for institutions, potentially leading to gaming the system or focusing narrowly on meeting minimum standards rather than striving for overall excellence.
4. Market Perception: High performing institutions might feel that their exceptional quality is not adequately recognized, while borderline institutions might feel unfairly penalized without the chance to show incremental improvement.

Whether binary accreditation is beneficial depends on the specific goals and context of the educational system. For some, the simplicity and clarity might be a significant advantage, while others may prefer more nuanced and detailed feedback to better drive continuous improvement.

COMMON CRITERIA FOR QUALITY ACCREDITATION

Quality assessment and accreditation for universities and colleges typically involve a range of criteria designed to evaluate various aspects of institutional performance and educational quality. Table 3 represents Common criteria for quality accreditation.

Table 3: Common Parameters for Quality Accreditations

Criteria	Parameters
Institutional Vision, Mission, and Objectives	Clarity and Relevance: The institution's mission should be clearly defined, realistic, and aligned with its programs and activities. Consistency: Activities and outcomes should consistently reflect the institution's mission and objectives.
Governance and Administration	Leadership and Management: Effective governance structures, including a competent board of trustees or governors. Strategic Planning: Long term planning and resource allocation that align with the institution's goals.
Academic Programs	Curriculum Quality: Relevance, coherence, and comprehensiveness of the academic programs offered. Learning Outcomes: Clear, measurable learning outcomes that are consistently assessed and updated. Program Review: Regular reviews and updates of programs to ensure they meet current academic and industry standards
Faculty Qualifications and Development	Credentials: Faculty members should have appropriate qualifications and expertise in their respective fields. Professional Development: Opportunities and support for faculty to engage in continuous professional development.
Student Support Services	Advising and Counselling: Effective academic and career advising services. Support Programs: Adequate support services, including tutoring, mental health services, and extracurricular activities
Learning Resources	Library and Information Services: Adequate library resources and access to relevant information. Technology: Availability and use of current technology to support teaching, learning, and research.
Infrastructure and Facilities	Physical Facilities: Adequate, well-maintained physical infrastructure, including classrooms, labs, and housing. Safety and Accessibility: Safe and accessible campus environment for all students and staff.
Financial Resources and Management	Financial Health: Sound financial management and stability. Resource Allocation: Effective and efficient allocation of financial resources to support institutional goals.
Student Outcomes	Graduation Rates: High rates of program completion and graduation. Employment and Further Study: Successful placement of graduates in relevant employment or further study. Alumni Success: Tracking and documenting the success and impact of alumni.



Quality Assurance and Improvement	Continuous Improvement: Mechanisms for ongoing assessment and improvement of institutional quality. Internal and External Reviews: Regular internal reviews and external evaluations to ensure standards are met.
Research and Scholarship	Research Output: Quantity and quality of research publications and contributions to the field. Funding and Grants: Success in obtaining research funding and grants. Collaboration and Innovation: Partnerships with other institutions, industry, and community organizations for research and innovation
Community Engagement and Social Responsibility	Community Service: Engagement in community service and outreach programs. Social Impact: Contributions to societal development and addressing social issues.
Best Practices	Institution Innovative, or Unique Practices can be depicted

These criteria form the foundation for assessing the quality and effectiveness of higher education institutions. The specific metrics and standards may vary by accrediting body and region, but the core principles remain consistent, focusing on ensuring that institutions provide high quality education, support student success, and contribute to knowledge and society.

INNOVATIONS IN QUALITY ACCREDITATION

Innovation plays a crucial role in quality assessments and accreditation in several ways: Innovation enhances the relevance, efficiency, and effectiveness of quality assessments and accreditation systems. By incorporating advanced technologies, adaptive standards, and outcome focused evaluations, accreditation bodies can better ensure that institutions meet the evolving needs of students, employers, and society. This dynamic approach to quality assurance not only upholds standards but also encourages continuous improvement and excellence in higher education.

Table 4: Innovations in Quality Accreditation

<p>Enhanced Evaluation Methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data Analytics and AI: Utilizing advanced data analytics and artificial intelligence to analyse vast amounts of data, identify trends, and predict potential issues. Automated Reporting: Implementing systems that automate the collection and reporting of institutional data, making the process more efficient and less prone to human error.
<p>Dynamic Quality Assurance Models</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continuous Improvement: Shifting from periodic assessments to continuous monitoring, allowing for real-time feedback and ongoing improvement. Adaptive Standards: Developing flexible standards that can adapt to changes in educational practices, technology, and societal needs.
<p>Customized Assessment Tools</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tailored Evaluations: Creating assessment tools that are customized to the specific context and needs of different institutions, rather than a one size fits all approach. Stakeholder Input: Involving a wider range of stakeholders, including students, employers, and community members, in the evaluation process through innovative feedback mechanisms.
<p>Integration of Technology in Learning and Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Digital Learning Platforms: Assessing the quality of digital learning environments and the effectiveness of online education. Eportfolios and Digital Credentials: Using eportfolios and digital credentials to provide a more comprehensive picture of student achievements and learning outcomes.
<p>Promotion of Innovative Teaching and Learning Practices</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pedagogical Innovations: Encouraging the adoption of innovative teaching methods, such as flipped classrooms, blended learning, and competency-based education. Interdisciplinary Programs: Supporting the development of interdisciplinary programs that address emerging fields and real-world problems.
<p>Enhanced Collaboration and Sharing of Best Practices</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Peer Learning Networks: Creating networks for institutions to share best practices and innovative approaches to quality assurance and accreditation.



<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Global Benchmarks: Comparing practices and outcomes against international benchmarks to foster global standards of excellence.
<p>Focus on Outcomes and Impact</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outcome Based Assessments: Shifting the focus from input measures (such as faculty qualifications and resources) to outcome measures (such as student learning outcomes and employment rates). • Impact Assessment: Evaluating the broader impact of institutions on their communities and society, including contributions to social and economic development.
<p>Incorporation of Ethical and Inclusive Practices</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Equity and Inclusion: Ensuring that quality assessments and accreditation processes promote equity, inclusion, and access for all students. • Ethical Standards: Incorporating ethical standards in the evaluation process to ensure institutions adhere to high ethical principles in their operations and interactions.

ETHICS AND ACCREDITATION

The relationship between university accreditation and ethics is important because ethical issues are crucial to the accrediting process and impact how universities are assessed and run. The following salient features highlight this relationship: The relationship between university accreditation and ethics emphasizes how crucial moral behaviour is to be preserving the integrity and quality of education. In order to guarantee that institutions function morally and to advance accountability, equity, and openness, accrediting organizations are essential. In the end, this connection benefits students and society at large by fostering stakeholder trust and promoting higher education institutions' ongoing improvements.

Integrity and Transparency

Honest Reporting: Universities are expected to provide accurate and honest information during the accreditation process. Misrepresentation of data or achievements can lead to ethical breaches.

Transparency: Institutions must be transparent about their operations, financial status, and academic offerings, fostering trust with stakeholders, including students, parents, and accrediting bodies.

Accountability

Responsibility: Universities are accountable for maintaining high standards in education, research, and service. Accreditation ensures that institutions are held responsible for their commitments to quality and excellence.

Oversight: Regular assessments by accrediting agencies provide external oversight, ensuring institutions adhere to ethical standards and improve continuously.

Equity and Inclusion

Fair Practices: Ethical accreditation processes evaluate whether universities practice fairness in admissions, hiring, and treatment of students and staff.

Inclusivity: Accrediting bodies assess how well institutions promote diversity, equity, and inclusion, ensuring that all students have equal opportunities to succeed.

Student Welfare and Rights

Protection of Rights: Accreditation bodies examine how universities protect the rights of students, including their academic freedom, privacy, and freedom from discrimination and harassment.

Support Systems: Ethical accreditation includes evaluating the availability and quality of student support services, such as counselling, career advising, and health services.

Academic Integrity

Quality of Education: Ensuring that the institution maintains rigorous academic standards and practices, including plagiarism prevention and upholding research ethics.

Curriculum Integrity: Accreditation examines whether the curriculum is designed and delivered in a way that promotes intellectual honesty and academic rigor.

Research Ethics

Responsible Conduct: Universities must adhere to ethical guidelines in their research practices, including obtaining proper approvals, ensuring the welfare of research subjects, and maintaining transparency in findings.

Intellectual Property: Respecting and responsibly managing intellectual property rights is a key aspect of ethical research practices evaluated during accreditation.



Financial Integrity

Ethical Financial Management: Accreditation bodies assess whether universities manage their finances ethically, ensuring that resources are used appropriately and that there is no misuse of funds.

Affordability and Access: Ethical considerations include evaluating the institution’s commitment to making education accessible and affordable for a diverse student body.

Community and Social Responsibility

Social Impact: Universities are assessed on their contributions to the community and society, including engagement in socially responsible activities and sustainable practices.

Ethical Partnerships: Institutions are evaluated on how they manage relationships with external partners, ensuring that collaborations align with ethical standards and institutional values.

Quality Parameters for Holistic Accreditation

Parameters such as Ethics of Caring, Humanity, and Learning to Learn into accreditation and assessment frameworks can provide a more holistic evaluation of higher education institutions. Following parameters should be incorporated by the Accreditation agencies to ensure quality assessment and holistic assessment of Higher Education Institutions:

Table 5: Quality Parameters for Higher Education Institutions

<i>Quality Parameters</i>	<i>Holistic Accreditation</i>
Ethics of Caring	<p>Student Support Services: Evaluate the availability and quality of services such as academic advising, mental health counselling, career services, and tutoring.</p> <p>Faculty Student Relationships: Assess the nature and quality of interactions between faculty and students, including mentorship and accessibility.</p> <p>Inclusive Policies and Practices: Examine the institution’s commitment to creating an inclusive environment for all students, including policies against discrimination and support for diverse student populations.</p> <p>Community Engagement: Review the institution’s involvement in community service and outreach programs, demonstrating a commitment to the broader community.</p>
Humanity	<p>Ethical Standards and Practices: Assess the institution’s adherence to ethical standards in all operations, including academic honesty, research integrity, and equitable treatment of all members of the community.</p> <p>Social Responsibility: Evaluate programs and initiatives that promote social justice, environmental sustainability, and global citizenship.</p> <p>Respect for Individuality: Review policies and practices that respect and celebrate individual differences and promote a culture of respect and empathy.</p> <p>Holistic Education: Assess the integration of programs that develop students’ emotional and social intelligence alongside academic competencies.</p>
Learning to Learn	<p>Critical Thinking and Problem Solving: Evaluate curricula and teaching methods that promote critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving skills.</p> <p>Lifelong Learning Opportunities: Assess the availability of programs and resources that encourage continuous personal and professional development, such as workshops, seminars, and continuing education courses.</p> <p>Self-directed Learning: Examine opportunities for students to engage in self-directed learning projects, independent research, and experiential learning.</p> <p>Adaptive Learning Technologies: Review the use of adaptive learning technologies that personalize education and support diverse learning styles and paces.</p>
Innovation in Teaching and Learning	<p>Heautegogical Innovation: Evaluate the use of innovative teaching methods, such as flipped classrooms, blended learning, and experiential learning.</p> <p>Technology Integration: Assess the effective use of technology in enhancing learning experiences and accessibility.</p>
Global Competence	<p>International Programs: Review the availability and quality of study abroad programs, international partnerships, and global learning initiatives.</p> <p>Multicultural Education: Assess how well the curriculum incorporates global perspectives and prepares students for a globalized world.</p>
Student Engagement and Empowerment	<p>Student Governance: Evaluate opportunities for student participation in governance and decision-making processes.</p>



Extracurricular Activities: Assess the range and quality of extracurricular activities that promote leadership, teamwork, and personal growth.

Quality of Life and Campus Environment

Campus Facilities: Review the quality and accessibility of campus facilities, including libraries, laboratories, and recreational areas.

Safety and Well-being: Assess measures in place to ensure the physical and mental wellbeing of students and staff.

Incorporating these parameters into accreditation and assessment frameworks can help create a more comprehensive evaluation of higher education institutions. These parameters emphasize not only academic excellence but also the development of caring, humane, and lifelong learners prepared to contribute positively to society.

REFERENCES

1. Japee, G. (2021, August). New Directions in Higher Education in the Post COVID-19 Era-Global Perspective. In *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Education, Humanities, Health and Agriculture, ICEHHA 2021, 3-4 June 2021, Ruteng, Flores, Indonesia*.
2. Japee, G., & Oza, P. (2021). Curriculum and evaluation in outcome-based education. *Psychology and Education Journal*, 58(2), 5620-5625.
3. Japee, G. P. (2015). Building quality education in India-a review and conceptual model. *ZENITH International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 5(12), 17-23.
4. Japee, G. P., & Gor, R. (2019). INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN MODERN EDUCATION. *BBK 74.58 II 66*, 733.